Exhibit B

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14:29:33
        1
        2
                           WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
        3
           MOOG INC.,
        4
                                             22-CV-187
                                        )
                             Plaintiff )
        5
           VS.
                                           Buffalo, New York
            SKYRYSE, INC., et al
        6
                                           November 10, 2022
                                       )
                           Defendant.
                                               3:00 p.m.
        7
           ORAL ARGUMENT
           Proceeding held via Zoom for Government Platform
        8
           All parties appeared remotely.
        9
                            TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEREMIAH J. MCCARTHY
       10
                         UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
       11
           FOR PLAINTIFF: SHEPPHARD MULLIN RICHETER & HAMPTON, LLP
       12
                            BY: RENA ANDOH, ESQ.
       13
                                TRAVIS ANDERSON, ESQ.
                                KAZIM A. NAQVI, ESQ.
       14
       15
           FOR DEFENDANT: LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
                            BY: GABRIEL S. GROSS, ESQ.
       16
                                JOSEPH LEE, ESQ.
                                ARMAN ZAHOORY, ESQ.
       17
                                 -and-
                                TERRANCE FLYNN, ESQ.
       18
                            HARRIS BEACH, LLP
       19
            FOR DEFENDANT
            PILKINGTON/KIM: WINGET, SPADAFORA & SCHWARTZBERG, LLP
       20
                            BY: ALEXANDER ASHER TRUITT, ESQ.
                                ANTHONY D. GREEN, ESQ.
       2.1
                                ANNABEL MIRELES, ESQ.
       22
       23
       24
       25
           COURT REPORTER: Karen J. Clark, Official Court Reporter
                            Karenclark1013@AOL.com
```

```
MOOG INC VS. SYRYSE, INC, ET AL
        1
            these three laptops be produced, correct?
15:29:59
        2
15:30:04
        3
                         MR. NAQVI: That's correct, your Honor.
15:30:05
                         MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right.
                                                                    Ι
            know that Moog's initial request was for many more
15:30:06
        5
            laptops to be imaged and produced. It was my
15:30:12
        6
        7
            suggestion, and, granted, I did say on October 20th that
15:30:16
            it was just a suggestion, and I would consider things
15:30:22
        8
            further, but I continue to believe that a narrowing it
15:30:26
        9
15:30:32
       10
            to three laptops is a reasonable accommodation and I
            think that a sufficient showing has been made as to
15:30:37
       11
15:30:39
       12
            these three laptops, mainly Achar, Chung and Dao, that
15:30:46
       13
            those imaged versions should be produced to Moog.
                                                                   So, I
15:30:51
       14
            will order that.
15:30:53
       15
                         Now, let's move onto the issue of the other
15:30:56
       16
            laptops.
15:30:59
       17
                         MR. NAQVI:
                                     Thank you, your Honor.
                                                                I'll --
            this is a much briefer issue, as your Honor may
       18
15:31:01
15:31:04
       19
            appreciate it.
15:31:05
       20
                         So, for these five other laptops that have
            been imaged, but not yet been produced, Skyryse does not
15:31:08
       2.1
       22
            agree to provide anything, and, instead, is saying, we
15:31:12
       23
            will provide a file listing for the USB device that
15:31:15
15:31:19
       24
            these laptops were connected to. And, Moog, you can
       25
15:31:22
            review the file listing for the USB device, but not the
```

```
1
                         MOOG INC VS. SYRYSE, INC, ET AL
            of violations of that order. And we've done anything we
15:39:30
        2
            can to get anything that hints of Moog out of the
15:39:34
        3
            company's system. Skyryse never wanted it. It never
15:39:35
            wanted it. To get Moog it back. I can't prove the
15:39:40
        5
            negative, as Mr. Naqvi challenged me to do, I can say
15:39:43
        7
            that my client has done everything in its power to
15:39:48
            comply with the order.
15:39:51
        8
                         MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: What I'm going
15:39:52
        9
15:39:54
       10
            to direct that as to the Brenes laptop, that you produce
            the file listings for Moog. And then we'll see where we
15:39:58
       11
15:40:04
       12
            go from there. And on the USB device, you're going to
15:40:10
       13
            produce a connection logs, is that right?
15:40:13
       14
                         MR. GROSS:
                                    We've offered to produce the
       15
            file listings, your Honor. We thought the connection --
15:40:15
                         MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: File listing on
15:40:19
       16
            the USB device. Okay. And then depending on what is
15:40:21
       17
       18
            shown or what is shown on the review of the mirror
15:40:25
15:40:29
       19
            images of the three laptops that I've already directed
15:40:31
       20
            you to produce, I may reconsider that ruling and extend
            it as to other laptops. But for now we're going to
15:40:37
       2.1
       22
            leave it at that.
15:40:41
       23
                         MR. NAQVI: Your Honor, maybe, I appreciate
15:40:43
15:40:47
       24
            where your Honor is landing on this. I do just want to
       25
15:40:49
            discuss the connection log, because I do think that is
```

18:09:32

18:09:33

18:09:37

18:09:42

18:09:44

18:09:55

18:10:01

18:10:04

18:10:09

18:10:13

18:10:18

18:10:24

18:10:26

18:10:32

18:10:38

18:10:40

18:10:44

18:10:47

18:10:50

18:10:56

18:11:00

18:11:03

18:11:08

18:11:14

MOOG INC VS. SYRYSE, INC, ET AL 1 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay. 2 everybody understands, first of all, this is a motion 3 for clarification. Nobody has formally responded. While we're all together, I quess, I'll hear briefly 5 from you. But if the question is is Moog allowed to 6 serve third-party subpoenas in order to identify the 7 trade secrets that it claims to have been 8 misappropriated, I guess my answer to that, and I think 9 I alluded to that at our last conference, would be yes. 10 But I am concerned that we not end up going down a whole 11 12 different series of alleyways on this because, at some 13 point, we have to bring the curtain down on 14 identification trade secrets with the caveat that, as we've said from the get go, at some point you identify 15 the trade secrets that you claim to have been 16 misappropriated. If, thereafter, you find that there 17 18 are other trade secrets, you can identify those for, you 19 know, on a showing of good cause, which I think would 20 be, you know, Judge Vilardo or I would be relatively open to. But, we're now, and it's not anybody's fault, 21 22 but it is what it is. This action was commenced in 23 March, I believe, and we're now in November, and we have 24 no preliminary injunction hearing scheduled. I guess it 25 remains an open issue of whether that hearing will be

```
1
                         MOOG INC VS. SYRYSE, INC, ET AL
            right. And, again, obviously, if Judge Vilardo would
18:21:32
        2
            send this case elsewhere in the interim, I'll take it
18:21:38
        3
18:21:41
                 But I have no indication from him one way or the
            other what he plans to do.
18:21:46
        5
                         So, all right, folks, I do appreciate that
18:21:49
        6
        7
            you disagree on issues, but you've done a good job on
18:21:53
            working through a lot of issues with each other and I
        8
18:21:57
            encourage you to continue doing so. So, thank you all.
18:22:02
        9
                         MR. GROSS: All right. Thank you, your
18:22:07
       10
       11
            Honor.
18:22:08
18:22:08
       12
                         MS. ANDOH: Thank you, your Honor.
18:22:09
       13
                         MR. TRUITT:
                                       Thank you, your Honor.
18:22:11
       14
                         MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Take care.
                                       *
       15
       16
                         CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
       17
       18
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
            of the record to the best of my ability of proceedings
       19
       20
            transcribed from the audio in the above-entitled matter.
       2.1
       22
            S/ Karen J. Clark, RPR
       23
            Official Court Reporter
       2.4
       25
```